BEFORE THE WATER QUALITY APPEALS BOARD DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION IN AND FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA

SIERRA CLUB, GRAND CANYON CHAPTER, a not-for-profit corporation; and RED ROCK RURAL COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION

Appellant,

vs.,

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Respondent.

CASE NO. 07-002-WQAB

Re: AP Permit Inventory No. 105588

BOARD'S FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION

On December 18, 2007, the Arizona Water Quality Appeals Board ("Board") met to consider the Recommended Decision of Administrative Law Judge Thomas Shedden dated November 5, 2007 ("the Recommended Decision") which was received by the Board on November 8, 2007 in the above-captioned matter. The Recommended Decision is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference.

Laura Berglan, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of the Appellant Sierra Club, Grand Canyon, a not-for-profit corporation, and Red Rock Rural Community Association. Curtis Cox of the Arizona Attorney General's Office represented the Respondent Arizona Department of Environmental Quality ("ADEQ"). Carla Consoli appeared on behalf of Intervenor/Real Party in Interest BySynergy, LLC.

After review of the Recommended Decision, transcripts and arguments of the parties, and having completed full and careful deliberation of the same, the Board takes the following actions regarding the Recommended Decision:

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Board adopts the Findings of Fact in their entirety.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Board adopts the Conclusions of Law in their entirety.

ORDER

The Board accepts the Administrative Law Judge's Recommendation and affirms the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality's decision to issue APP No. P-105588.

NOTICE PURSUANT TO A.A.C, R2-17-125 (C):

This is a final administrative decision of the Water Quality Appeals Board, made according to A.R.S. § 49-323. You may file a motion for rehearing or review of this decision under R2-17-126. If you file a motion for rehearing or review, you must file a written motion within 30 days after service of this decision. You are not required to file a motion for rehearing or review before seeking judicial review. This decision may be reviewed by the Superior Court if you file a complaint in the manner prescribed in A.R.S. §§ 41-1092.10 and 41-1092.11.

DATED this $f_{...}^{K}$ day of December, 2007.

WATER QUALITY APPEALS BOARD

Karen Baerst Treon, Acting Chairman

A copy of the foregoing was mailed via U.S. Mail this day of December, 2007.

WATER QUALITY APPEALS BOARD: Karen Baerst Treon Sharon S. Lagas

Karen Smith

A copy of the foregoing was faxed and mailed via U.S. Mail this day of *December*, 2007.

Howard Shanker.
Attorney at Law
12 East Dale Avenue
Flagstaff, Arizona 86002
Appellant's attorney

Curtis Cox, Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 1275 W. Washington St. Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Attorney for ADEQ

Carla Consoli
Lewis and Roca, LLP
40 N. Central Avenue
Suite 1900
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
Attorney for Intervenor/Real Party in Interest

A copy of the foregoing was mailed via inter-agency mail this day of December. 2007

Judith Fought, Hearing Administrator Office of Administrative Counsel Arizona Department of Environmental Quality 1110 W. Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007

Joan Card
Michele Robertson
Maribeth Greenslade
ADEQ Water Quality Division
1110 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Christopher Munns, Assistant Attorney General Solicitor General's Office General Environmental Enforcement Section 1275 W. Washington St. Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Attorney for the Water Quality Appeals Board

A copy of the foregoing was hand delivered this Whalay of December. 2007.

Thomas Shedden
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings
1400 W. Washington, Suite 101
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Office of Administrative Hearings

By: Toni Towne

Clerk, Water Quality Appeals Board